MAR 2 7 2006

MS APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS

Docket No.: 0171-0778P

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Takaya SATO et al.		
Application No.: 09/940,541	Confirmation No.: 47	738
Filed: August 29, 2001	Art Unit: 1746	
For: LITHIUM BASED BATTERY	Examiner: J. Crepeau	1
APPEAL BRIEF TRANSMIT	ITAL FORM	
MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		
Sir:		
Transmitted herewith is an Appeal Brief on behathe above-identified application.	alf of the Appellants in	connection with
The enclosed document is being transmitted via 37 C.F.R. § 1.8.	the Certificate of Mail	ing provisions of
A Notice of Appeal was filed on January 26, 2006.		
Applicant claims small entity status in accordance	e with 37 C.F.R. § 1.27	
The fee has been calculated as shown below:	03/28/2006 JADD01 01 FC:1402	00000866 09940541 500.00 OF
Extension of time fee pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.	17 and 1.136(a) - \$.	
Fee for filing an Appeal Brief - \$500.00 (large ent	tity).	

Application No.: 09/940,541

Docket No.: 0171-0778P

A Check in the amount of \$500 is attached.

Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2448 in the amount of \$. A triplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: March 27, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald M. Murphy, Jr. Registration No.: 28,977

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment(s)

Docket No.: 0171-0778P (PATENT)

MAR 2.7 2006 By

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Tre Patent Application of: Takaya SATO et al.

Application No.: 09/940,541

Confirmation No.: 4738

Filed: August 29, 2001

Art Unit: 1746

For: LITHIUM BASED BATTERY

Examiner: J. CREPEAU

BRIEF ON APPEAL

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is an appeal from the September 26, 2005 final rejection of claims 1-8.

(i) Real party in interest.

The real party in interest in the appeal is the Assignee of the present patent application, ITOCHU CORPORATION of Osaka, Japan.

(ii) Related appeals and interferences.

There are no related appeals or interferences.

(iii) Status of claims.

Claims 1-8, which are all of the claims in the application, stand rejected.

(iv) Status of Amendments.

On December 19, 2005, Appellant filed a proposed Amendment after Final Rejection. In an Advisory Action that was mailed on January 6, 2006, the Examiner indicated that the proposed Amendment after Final Rejection would not be entered. Accordingly, the claims on appeal are the claims as presented in the Amendment which had been filed on May 23, 2005.

(v) Summary of claimed subject matter.

The battery of the present invention has a battery container with a covering which has been applied over the outer peripheral surface of the container. This separate covering consists essentially of an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1% or more. In accordance with the present invention, the battery container and the high polymer sheet are not laminated and united together. See Figures 1, 4, and 7. Therefore, if a nail pierces the battery, the covering – which consists essentially of the high polymer sheet and is not laminated onto the container – extends between the positive and negative electrodes through the battery container, in order to prevent a large current from instantly flowing between the electrodes. See Figure 15.

The independent claims on appeal are claims 1 and 3. Both of these claims recite a lithium-based battery comprising a battery container. The battery container contains within it a Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

cell structure group formed by stacking unit cells each including a positive electrode, a negative electrode, and a separator interposed therebetween (specification, page 19, line 16 - page 21, line 16), or the cell structure group may be formed by repeatedly folding or winding an integral body of the unit cells (specification, page 3, lines 18-20). The battery container also contains within it an electrolyte, which is poured into the battery container after the cell structure group is contained in the battery container (specification, page 24, lines 16-33). In accordance with the present invention, the battery is characterized by the presence on the outer peripheral surface of the battery container of a covering that consists essentially of an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more. Specification, page 9, lines 16-31: "The tensile elongation percentage of the extensible high polymer sheet 7 of the present invention is in a range of 1 % or more In the case where the tensile elongation percentage of the high polymer sheet covering the battery container is excessively small, if there happens an accident due to external causes, for example, if a nail pierces the battery, ... the buttery [sic] may be burst and/or ignited". In accordance with the present invention, the tensile elongation of the battery container covering is selected to cause the sheet to provide insulation between the positive and negative electrodes of the battery when the container is deformed. Specification, page 5, lines 29-30: "... the high polymer sheet is effectively deformed between the positive and negative electrodes" Independent claim 3 additionally recites that the outer periphery of the cell structure group is covered with a sheet consisting essentially of an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more. Specification page 6, lines 3-13.

(vi) Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

The sole ground of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is the rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10-208708 (JP '708) in view of JP 2000-173564 (JP '564).

(vii) Argument.

JP '708 teaches laminating a fusion-type resin film on an inner side of a metallic foil and laminating a polyethylene terephthalate film on the other side of the metallic foil to obtain a laminated film package containing a power generation element – i.e., a battery. See the English language Abstract of JP '708. The Examiner admits that JP '708 does not teach the invention "as recited in claims 1 and 3". Final Rejection, page 3, lines 3-5. The Examiner argues that an "artisan would be motivated by the disclosure of JP '564 to use a highly elastic thin film as the outer layer of the bag of JP '708". Final Rejection, page 3, lines 11-12.

The alleged basis for this motivation is the teaching in JP '564 that the impact-absorbing property of the bag body of the battery bag disclosed in JP '564 can be increased while maintaining the flexibility thereof by providing "outer rubber layer 6" on the surface of the bag body. As shown e.g. in Figure 1 of JP '708, outer layer 6 is provided on the surface of the battery container by being adhered to metal layer 4 by adhesive layer 5. As pointed out in paragraph [0027] of JP '564 and depicted in Figure 6 thereof, when a needle 17 penetrates the battery, the laminated outer layer 6 does not move into the battery and shield the electrodes from one another. Instead, JP '564 teaches "for the outside rubber layer 6 to revert, for a hole to be plugged up, when a needle is extracted". JP '564, paragraph [0027]. Clearly, the combination of

JP '564 with JP '708 at best teaches a battery with a flexible layer laminated (adhered) to its outside.

Each of claims 1-8 herein expressly recites the feature: "the tensile elongation of the battery container covering is selected to cause the sheet to provide insulation between the positive and negative electrodes of the battery when the container is deformed". The Examiner has failed to demonstrate that the references teach or suggest this aspect of the claimed invention. Accordingly, the Examiner has failed to state a sustainable rejection of claims 1-8.

Each of claims 1-8 herein expressly recites the feature: "said battery is characterized by the presence on the outer peripheral surface of said battery *container* of a *covering* that consists essentially of an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more". Emphasis supplied. "The lithium based battery of the present invention is characterized in that the outer peripheral surface of the battery *container* 6¹ is *covered* with the ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet 7" Specification, page 9, lines 5-9, emphasis supplied. There can be no doubt that in the present invention the "cover" is in addition to (that is, not identical with) the container.

Theoretically, the term "covered" could be construed to include coverings that are laminated to the containers. However, it is axiomatic that the claims must be construed in the light of the specification. The Board's attention is respectfully directed to Figures 1 and 7, in which covering 7 is clearly depicted as being separate from container 6. The specification refers to the drawings to show what it means by the term "covering". "Referring to FIG. 1, the lithium

¹ Note that container 6 may be formed of metal foil which may have a polymer film laminated to it. Specification, page 8, lines 33-36. That polymer film may be, but need not be, made from the same polymer as is separate polymer layer 7. Original specification, page 9, lines 9-15.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

base battery C ... is obtained by ... containing the cell structure group M in a battery container 6; ... and covering the outer peripheral surface of the battery container 6 with an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet 7" Specification, page 7, line 36 – page 8, line 7. Likewise, "... as shown in FIG. 7, the outer periphery of the cell structure group M is covered with the ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet 7 having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more, and further the outer peripheral surface of the battery container 6 is covered with the ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet 7 having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more" Specification, page 31, lines 6-13. Visual inspection of Figures 1 and 7 readily reveals that covering 7 is not laminated or adhered to container 6.²

JP '708 and JP '564 disclose batteries having a container made of *laminated* film, the laminated film being made up of metal foil and elastic high polymer sheets. The object of the prior art is to prevent nails from piercing the battery container by using the laminated film that includes an elastic high polymer layer. In contrast, the present invention covers the battery container with a *separate* high polymer sheet. Then if for instance a nail pierces the battery of the present invention, the nail pushes the high polymer sheet to a position between the positive and negative electrodes of the battery container together with the nail, which avoids high current from instantly flowing between the electrodes. In JP '708 and JP '564, since the high polymer sheet and the battery container are united to one another by sheet lamination, *the high polymer*

-

² If Appellant had contemplated the use of lamination or an adhesive, it would have been disclosed. Compare Ex parte Parks, 30 USPQ 2d 1234 (BPAI 1994), where the claimed process, unlike the prior art, did not use a catalyst. The Board noted that the originally filed disclosure would have conveyed to one of ordinary skill in the art the concept of conducting the reaction without the use of a catalyst. The Board indicated that the disclosure described several examples of the process without the mention of a catalyst, even though "the discussion would seem to cry out for a catalyst if one were used." 30 USPQ2d at 1236. The Board was therefore of the opinion that the original description adequately supported considering the claimed process as being conducted without the presence of a catalyst. Here, Appellant's specification cries out for mention of a lamination adhesive if one were used.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

sheet portion of the laminate cannot stretch elastically, so that it cannot be interposed between the two electrodes through the container. Manifestly, the batteries of JP '708 and JP '564 cannot achieve the beneficial emergency insulation effect provided by the present invention.

<u>Summary</u>

In JP '708 and JP '564, high polymer sheets may constitute the outermost layer of the battery containers themselves. However, the battery containers are made of laminated film containing both the metal foil and the high polymer sheet. In contrast, the battery of the present invention is characterized in that the outer peripheral surface of the battery container (which container may be a laminate) is covered with a *separate* ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1% or more. This double covering feature of the present invention is neither taught nor suggested by JP '708 or by JP '564, alone or in combination.

In any event, each of claims 1-8 herein expressly recites the feature: "the tensile elongation of the battery container covering is selected to cause the sheet to provide insulation between the positive and negative electrodes of the battery when the container is deformed". The Examiner has failed even to allege that the references teach or suggest this aspect of the claimed invention. Accordingly, the Examiner has failed to state a sustainable rejection of claims 1-8.

Applicants respectfully submit that the features of the invention reflected in the present claims would not be derived by persons of ordinary skill in the art from the disclosures of JP

'708 and JP '564, individually or in combination. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of record be withdrawn.

If there are any questions, the Examiner and/or the Board is/are respectfully requested to telephone Richard Gallagher, Reg. No. 28,781, at (703) 205-8008.

Dated: March 27, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.

Registration No.: 28,977

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

(viii) Claims Appendix.

1. A lithium based battery comprising a battery container containing therein:

a cell structure group formed by stacking unit cells each including a positive electrode, a negative electrode, and a separator interposed therebetween, or formed by repeatedly folding or winding an integral body of said unit cells; and

an electrolyte, which is poured in said battery container after said cell structure group is contained in said battery container,

wherein said battery is characterized by the presence on the outer peripheral surface of said battery container of a covering that consists essentially of an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more, and

wherein the tensile elongation of the battery container covering is selected to cause the sheet to provide insulation between the positive and negative electrodes of the battery when the container is deformed.

2. A lithium based battery according to claim 1, wherein the outer periphery of said cell structure group is covered with a sheet consisting essentially of an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more, the tensile elongation of the cell structure group cover sheet being selected to cause the sheet to provide insulation between positive and negative electrodes of the battery when the container is deformed.

3. A lithium based battery comprising a battery container containing therein:

a cell structure group formed by stacking unit cells each including a positive electrode, a negative electrode, and a separator interposed therebetween, or formed by repeatedly folding or winding an integral body of said unit cells; and

an electrolyte, which is poured in said battery container after said cell structure group is contained in said battery container,

wherein said battery is characterized by the presence on the outer peripheral surface of said battery container of a covering that consists essentially of an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more,

wherein the tensile elongation of the battery container covering is selected to cause the sheet to provide insulation between the positive and negative electrodes of the battery when the container is deformed, and

wherein also the outer periphery of said cell structure group is covered with a sheet consisting essentially of an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more.

4. A lithium based battery according to claim 3, wherein said positive electrode and said negative electrode of each of said unit cells are respectively formed on one surface of a positive collector and one surface of a negative collector in such a manner as to face to each other with said separator put therebetween; and

an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more is disposed between adjacent two of said unit cells and/or on the outer Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

peripheral surface of each of said unit cells, the tensile elongation of said high polymer sheet being selected to cause the sheet to provide insulation between positive and negative electrodes of the battery when the container is deformed.

5. A lithium based battery according to claim 2, wherein said positive electrode and said negative electrode of each of said unit cells are respectively formed on one surface of a positive collector and one surface of a negative collector in such a manner as to face to each other with said separator put therebetween; and

an ion impermeable and extensible high polymer sheet having a tensile elongation percentage of 1 % or more is disposed between adjacent two of said unit cells and/or on the outer peripheral surface of each of said unit cells, the tensile elongation of said high polymer sheet being selected to cause the sheet to provide insulation between positive and negative electrodes of the battery when the container is deformed.

- 6. A lithium based battery according to claim 1, wherein said extensible high polymer sheet is made from at least one kind selected from a group consisting of a polyurethane based elastomer and a fluorine based elastomer.
- 7. A lithium based battery according to claim 3, wherein each of said high polymer sheets said extensible high polymer sheet is made from at least one kind selected from a group consisting of a polyurethane based elastomer and a fluorine based elastomer.

Docket No.: 0171-0778P

8. A lithium based battery according to any one of claims 1 to 7,

wherein said extensible high polymer sheet has a tensile elongation percentage of 200% or more throughout the temperature range -20 to +80 °C.

(ix) Evidence Appendix.

No evidence is relied upon by the Examiner or by Appellant.

(x) Related proceedings Appendix.

Not applicable.

PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)
Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Complete if Known Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818). 09/940,541-Conf. #4738 Application Number **FEE TRANSMITTAL** August 29, 2001 Filing Date Takaya SATO First Named Inventor MAR 2 7 2006 For FY 2005 **Examiner Name** J. Crepeau Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 Art Unit 1746

TOTAL AMOUNT O	FPAYMENT	(\$) 500.00		Attorney Docket I	No.	0171-0778P		
METHOD OF PAY	MENT (check a	I that apply)						
X Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify):								
Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 02-2448 Deposit Account Name: Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP								
L	•						& Diron, Li	<u></u>
[e-identified depos		irector is f		-			- 611' for
	fee(s) indicated t			Charge	e fee(s) in	dicated below, ex	cept for the	e filing fee
	any additional feunder 37 CFR 1.1		ment of	x Credit	any overp	ayments		
FEE CALCULATI	ON							
1. BASIC FILING, SE	=							
	FILI	NG FEES Small Entity	SEA	RCH FEES Small Entity	EXAMII	NATION FEES Small Entity		
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)		Fees Pa	aid (\$)
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100		
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65		
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80		
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300		
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0		
2. EXCESS CLAIM F	EES							Small Entity
Fee Description	r I Pos Defeat	>					Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (Each independent cla		·		- ***		00-	50 200	25 100
Multiple dependent of	,	ing Keissucs)					360	180
Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Pa	nid (\$)	TV.	lultiple Depende		100
- =	x	=		(*)	_		ee Paid (\$))
Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Pa	iid (\$)				_
- =	x	=						
3. APPLICATION SIZE					. 11 6			
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50								
	n thereof. See 35				or sinair c	intity) for cucir at	aditional 50	
Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	,		ditional 50 or frac	tion there	of Fee (\$)	Fee P	Paid (\$)
10	00 =	/50	(round up to a who	le number)	x :	-	
4. OTHER FEE(S)							Fees F	Paid (\$)
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)								
Other (e.g., late fi	iling surcharge):	1402 Filing a b	rief in su	pport of an ap	peal		500	0.00
SUBMITTED BY								

SUBMITTED BY		-			
Signature	R-1 LUN # 28,781	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	28,977	Telephone	(703) 205-8000
Name (Print/Type)	Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.			Date	March 27, 2006